

SAHPRA/2022/REGULATORY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM/RFB002

Questions and answers

	Questions	Answers
1.	It is assumed that digital signatures are accepted for pages/sections within the response document requiring a signature.	- Yes
2.	Is adding a person's initials to the information page sufficient for pages within section B to indicate that we have reviewed and noted the content?	Yes, fill in information where is required and indicate where it is not applicable.
3.	For Requirement SE-22, "Content Reuse Flag - Solution should be able to flag content identical to content used in other submissions based on MD5 Checksums." Can you clarify the requirement and provide a use case for this functionality within a regulatory context?	MD5 Checksums is the ability to flag identical content and new content on submissions. E.g Submission ref 0000 is missing a letter content reuse flag the re- submitted letter with a new ref 0001.
4.	For a foreign supplier who is partnering with a local company, does the foreign supplier need to register on the SARS system for a Tax Clearance Certificate	Need to complete the SBD 1 in full to be submitted to SARS by SAHPRA.

5. Would reference letters or purchase orders be accepted to satisfy the Reference letter provides the required evidence. requirements as evidence or only award letters are required? Include the numbers of year the services were rendered 6. We would like to get clarification on the image below, the highlighted No parts exclude majority of service providers to participate in the tender opportunity, we have relevant experience providing solutions and services based on your requirements and automatically excluded by not having prior opportunity to provide the similar services and solution to Health Products Regulatory Authority, can that condition be waived? NDATORY REQUIREMENTS **Evidence Of Compliance** Provide Yes/No location BIDDER EXPERIENCE AND CAPABILITY REQUIREMENTS The bidder must provide at least 3 award Yes - Proceed letters of affirmation from other Regulatory No – Do not evaluate Authorities or Health Product Industry to bidder must have provided ICT further whom the service(s) were delivered. Each ware solutions (document letter must be dated, signed and on a agement, case management and letterhead of the customer and indicates: uators software tools) to any a) The customer Company name Ith Products Regulatory Authority and physical address. ealth Products industry during the b) Customer contact person's name, ten (10) years. telephone -number and email address. c) For a Business customer, the Company Registration Number as registered with Companies and Intellectual Property Commission relevant to the respective territory d) Service scope of work. Technology scope and f) Project Start and End Date 7. SP-1 Yes, and for any future developments. Can you please clarify the section for the submission portal: SAHPRA is in the process of developing their own portal: Should we allow for consultation time and engage with the developer on how we will exchange needed information and where we get the submitted dossier?

8.	The current Portal has some workflow capabilities that overlap with our software and the information is important for both systems, should we synchronise the information and what will our scope be?	-	Yes, the preferred bidder's solution will need to complete the regulatory case/document and evaluation management processes' workflow, but it must be able communicate specific process end points to the Portal via an appropriate API.
9.	Should our proposal include the SAHPRA portal developers consulting and programming time for the integration?	-	Yes
10.	PA-7 The current Portal makes provision for process management and workflow, should we use the portal and communicate all info via there?	-	The communication from external parties with SAHPRA will be via the Portal and the Portal will transfer relevant meta data and files to the bidder's solution – all SAHPRA internal processing will be managed in the bidder's solution (with the specific process end points being communicated with the Portal) and communication back with external parties will be via the Portal – In essence the comms will be via Portal and the process management flow on the preferred bidder's solution.
11.	The tender mentions a cloud solution and our software complies. You mention AWS and Azure cloud solutions, should we include the SAAS cloud hosting in the tender or must we just be compatible?	-	Please follow the tenders' functional criteria – which requires a cloud solution that is POPI compliant and will provide hosting of data within the borders of South Africa.
12.	Your portal is currently not hosted in AWS or Azure, Is the long-term plan to host in the same environment to prevent large amounts of data moving between to datacentres when the dossier is deposited from the portal to the submission management repository?	-	SAHPRA will decide in due course on our portal hosting.